arXiv:1606.06615v2 [math.AG] 27 Jun 2016

ON THE MILNOR MONODROMY OF THE EXCEPTIONAL REFLECTION ARRANGEMENT OF TYPE G_{31}

ALEXANDRU DIMCA[1] AND GABRIEL STICLARU

Abstract. Combining recent results by A. Măcinic, S. Papadima and R. Popescu with a spectral sequence and computer aided computations, we determine the monodromy action on $H^1(F, \mathbb{C})$, where F denotes the Milnor fiber of the hyperplane arrangement associated to the exceptional irreducible complex reflection group G_{31}. This completes the description given by the first author of such monodromy operators for all the other irreducible complex reflection groups.

1. Introduction

Let $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite complex reflection group and denote by $\mathcal{A}(G)$ the union of all the reflecting hyperplerplanes of G, i.e. the hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ fixed by some $g \in G$, $g \neq Id$. For a general reference on complex reflection groups, see [15] and [20]. Since $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is a central hyperplane arrangement, it has a defining equation $f = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, where f is a homogeneous polynomial. One can associate to this setting the Milnor fiber $F(G) : f = 1$ of the arrangement by $\mathcal{A}(G)$. This is a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$ endowed with a monodromy morphism $h : F(G) \to F(G)$, given by $h : F \to F$, $h(x_1, ..., x_n) = \exp(2\pi i/d) \cdot (x_1, ..., x_n)$, see [1], [2], [7], [8], [14], [18], [21], [22], [23] for related results and to get a feeling of the problems in this very active area. The study of the induced monodromy operator

$$h^1(G) : H^1(F(G), \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(F(G), \mathbb{C})$$

is the object of the papers [19] and [6]. The exceptional complex reflection groups are usually denoted by G_j, with $4 \leq j \leq 37$. In this note we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *The monodromy operator*

$$h^1 : H^1(F(G_{31}), \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(F(G_{31}), \mathbb{C})$$

for the exceptional complex reflection group G_{31} is trivial.

The description of the monodromy operator h^1 for all the other complex reflection groups is given in [6]. The proof for the case $G = G_{31}$ involves a completely different approach, and this explains why it is written down here in a separate note. Indeed, this proof is close in spirit to our paper [11], with computer aided computations

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S55; Secondary 32S35, 32S22.

Key words and phrases. Milnor fiber, complex reflection group, hyperplane arrangement, monodromy.

[1] Partially supported by Institut Universitaire de France.

playing a key role at several stages. Moreover, the rank of the group G_{31} being $n = 4$, we have to deal with higher dimensional singularities and hence with a more complicated spectral sequence than in [11], where the case of plane curves corresponding to $n = 3$ is discussed, see also Remark 3.1 (i).

In the second section we recall a spectral sequence approach for the computation of the monodromy of the Milnor fibers of homogeneous polynomials with arbitrary singularities introduced in [4], and developed in [5, Chapter 6], [10] and [11]. For simplicity, we describe the results only in the case $n = 4$, the only case needed in the sequel.

In the third section we recall basic facts on the exceptional complex reflection group G_{31}, in particular the construction of the basic invariants going back to Maschke [17] and the construction of a basis of Jacobian syzygies as described in [20, Appendix B, pp. 280-281 and p. 285].

In the fourth section we describe the algorithm used to determine the monodromy operator $h^1 : H^1(F(G_{31}), \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(F(G_{31}), \mathbb{C})$, using the software SINGULAR [3]. The corresponding code is available on request.

2. A spectral sequence for the Milnor monodromy

Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, t]$ and let $f \in S$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d without multiple factors. Consider the global Milnor fiber F of the polynomial f defined by $f(x, y, z, t) = 1$ in $\mathbb{C}^4$, with monodromy action $h : F \to F$,

$$h(x, y, z, t) = \exp(2\pi i/d) \cdot (x, y, z, t).$$

Let Ω^j denote the graded S-module of (polynomial) differential j-forms on $\mathbb{C}^4$, for $0 \leq j \leq 4$. The complex $K_f^* = (\Omega^*, df\wedge)$ is nothing else but the Koszul complex in S of the partial derivatives f_x, f_y, f_z and f_t of the polynomial f. The general theory says that there is a spectral sequence $E_*(f)$, whose first term $E_1(f)$ is computable in terms of the homogeneous components of the cohomology of the Koszul complex K_f^* and whose limit $E_\infty(f)$ gives us the action of monodromy operator on the graded pieces of $H^*(F, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to a certain pole order filtration P, see for details [4], [5, Chapter 6], [10].

More precisely, for any integer $k \in [1, d]$, there is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{s,t}(f)_k = H^{s+t+1}(K_f^*)_{td+k}, \tag{2.1}$$

converging to

$$E_\infty^{s,t}(f)_k = Gr_P^s H^{s+t}(F, \mathbb{C})_\lambda, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\lambda = \exp(-2\pi ik/d)$ and $H^{s+t}(F, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$ denotes the associated eigenspace of the monodromy operator. Moreover, the differential d_1 in this spectral sequence is induced by the exterior differentiation of forms, i.e. $d_1 : [\omega] \mapsto [d(\omega)]$. We have the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $D : f = 0$ be a reduced degree d surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$, and let*

$$\lambda = \exp(-2\pi ik/d) \neq 1,$$

with $k \in (0,d)$ an integer. Then $H^1(F,\mathbb{C})_\lambda = 0$ if and only if

$$E_2^{1,0}(f)_k = E_2^{1,0}(f)_{k'} = 0,$$

where $k' = d - k$.

Proof. Note that on the cohomology group $H^1(F,\mathbb{C})_{\neq 1}$, which is by definition the direct sum of eigenspaces of h^1 corresponding to eigenvalues $\neq 1$, one has $P^2 = 0$, $F^1 \subset P^1$ and $F^0 = P^0 = H^1(F,\mathbb{C})_{\neq 1}$, where F^1 denotes the Hodge filtration, see [10]. Let now λ be an eigenvalue of the monodromy operator. The fact that $H^1(F,\mathbb{C})_{\neq 1}$ is a pure Hodge structure of weight 1, see [1], [9], implies that either $H^{1,0}(F,\mathbb{C})_\lambda \neq 0$ or $H^{0,1}(F,\mathbb{C})_\lambda \neq 0$. In the first case we get $Gr_P^1 H^1(F,\mathbb{C})_\lambda \neq 0$, while in the second case we get $H^{1,0}(F,\mathbb{C})_{\overline{\lambda}} \neq 0$ and hence $Gr_P^1 H^1(F,\mathbb{C})_{\overline{\lambda}} \neq 0$. The result follows now from the fact that $H^1(K_f^*) = 0$ since f is a reduced polynomial. Indeed, it is known that the smallest j with $H^j(K_f^*) \neq 0$ is precisely the codimension of the singular locus D_{sing} of D in $\mathbb{P}^3$, see [12, Theorems A.2.38 and A.2.48]. □

To check the condition $E_2^{1,0}(f)_k = 0$ in practice, we proceed as follows. Consider a 2-form

$$\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} a_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j \in \Omega^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where $a_{ij} \in S_{k-2}$ and we use the convention $x = x_1$, $y = x_2$, $z = x_3$, $t = x_4$.

We have the following two obvious results.

Lemma 2.2. *A differential 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2$ given by (2.3) satisfies $df \wedge \omega = 0$ if and only if the following 4 equations in S hold.*

$$(R_1) \quad a_{34} f_y - a_{24} f_z + a_{23} f_t = 0$$

$$(R_2) \quad a_{34} f_x - a_{14} f_z + a_{13} f_t = 0$$

$$(R_3) \quad a_{24} f_x - a_{14} f_y + a_{12} f_t = 0$$

$$(R_4) \quad a_{23} f_x - a_{13} f_y + a_{12} f_z = 0$$

Lemma 2.3. *A differential 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2$ given by (2.3) satisfies $d\omega = 0$ if and only if the following 4 equations in S hold.*

$$(E1) \quad a_{23_t} - a_{24_z} + a_{34_y} = 0.$$

$$(E2) \quad a_{13_t} - a_{14_z} + a_{34_x} = 0.$$

$$(E3) \quad a_{12_t} - a_{14_y} + a_{24_x} = 0.$$

$$(E4) \quad a_{12_z} - a_{13_y} + a_{23_x} = 0.$$

3. On the exceptional complex reflection group G_{31}

The exceptional complex reflection group G_{31} has order 46080, and rank 4, hence it acts naturally on $\mathbb{C}^4$. Its basic invariants f_1, f_2, f_3 and f_4, of degree $8, 12, 20$ and 24 respectively, can be constructed as follows, see [17]. Let

$$a = x^4+y^4+z^4+t^4,\ b = x^2y^2+z^2t^2,\ c = x^2z^2+y^2t^2,\ d = x^2t^2+y^2z^2,\ e = xyzt. \tag{3.1}$$

We define

$$A_1 = a + 6(-b - c - d),\ A_2 = a + 6(-b + c + d),\ A_3 = a + 6(b - c + d), \tag{3.2}$$
$$A_4 = a + 6(b + c - d),\ A_5 = -2a - 24e,\ A_6 = -2a + 24e.$$

Let s_i be the i-th symmetric function in the variables A_i, such that

$$\prod_{i=1,6}(t + A_i) = \sum_{j=0,6} t^{6-j}s_j,$$

with $s_0 = 1$. Define the following homogeneous polynomials in S

$$F_8 = -\frac{1}{6}s_2,\ F_{12} = -\frac{1}{4}s_3,\ F_{20} = \frac{1}{12}s_5, \tag{3.3}$$

which verify $s_4 = 9F_8^2$. Define new polynomials as in [20], p. 285, by the following

$$f_1 = F_8,\ f_2 = F_{12},\ f_3 = F_{20},\ f_4 = \frac{1}{265531392}Hess(f_1), \tag{3.4}$$

where $Hess(f_1)$ is the determinant of the Hessian matrix $H(f_1)$ of f_1.

Consider the following polynomial of degree 60 in S

$$f = xyzt(x^4 - y^4)(x^4 - z^4)(x^4 - t^4)(y^4 - z^4)(y^4 - t^4)(z^4 - t^4) \tag{3.5}$$
$$((x-y)^2 - (z+t)^2)((x-y)^2 - (z-t)^2)((x+y)^2 - (z+t)^2)((x+y)^2 - (z-t)^2)$$
$$((x-y)^2 + (z+t)^2)((x-y)^2 + (z-t)^2)((x+y)^2 + (z+t)^2)((x+y)^2 + (z-t)^2)$$
$$((x-z)^2 + (y+t)^2)((x-z)^2 + (y-t)^2)((x+z)^2 + (y+t)^2)((x+z)^2 + (y-t)^2)$$
$$((x-t)^2 + (y+z)^2)((x-t)^2 + (y-z)^2)((x+t)^2 + (y+z)^2)((x+t)^2 + (y-z)^2).$$

Then the reflection hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}(G_{31})$ consists of 60 hyperplanes and is given by $f = 0$, see [13]. Moreover, as any reflection hyperplane arrangement, $\mathcal{A}(G_{31})$ is a free arrangement, see [20, Theorem 6.60], [24]. This means that the graded S-module of Jacobian syzygies for f, namely

$$AR(f) = \{r = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) \in S^4\ :\ r_1f_x + r_2f_y + r_3f_z + r_4f_t = 0\},$$

which is the same as the S-module of derivations killing f, is free of rank 3. A basis for this module can be computed as follows, see [20, Appendix B, pp. 280-281 and p. 285]. Let $A(f_1)$ be the adjoint of the Hessian matrix $H(f_1)$, namely a matrix such that

$$A(f_1)H(f_1) = H(f_1)A(f_1) = Hess(f_1)I_4,$$

with I_4 the unit matrix of order 4. Let $J(f)$ be the 4×4 matrix having on the j-th column the first order partial derivatives of f_j with respect to x, y, z and t. Define a

new 4×4 matrix C, which has the first, the third and the fourth rows the same as the unit matrix I_4, and the second row is given by

$$0,\ f_4,\ -\frac{1}{5}f_1, -\frac{1}{1620}f_2. \tag{3.6}$$

Then we set $B = A(f_1)J(f)C$. Let b_{11} be the entry of this matrix B situated on the first row and the first column, set $g = b_{11}/x$ and let $D = g^{-1}B$, a new matrix with entries in the polynomial ring S.

The first column $D[1]$ in the matrix D is given by x, y, z, t, and the corresponding derivation of the polynomial ring S, denoted again by $D[1]$, is precisely the Euler derivation. In particular, one has $D[1]f = 60f$. Note that the other columns $D[j]$ of the matrix D, for $j = 2, 3, 4$, satisfy similar relations $D[j]f = g_j f$ for some homogeneous polynomials $g_j \in S$, for $j = 2, 3, 4$. Define a new matrix E, whose columns $E[j]$ are given by the following relations.

$$E[j] = D[j] - \frac{g_j}{60}D[1], \tag{3.7}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where we set $g_1 = 0$. It follows that $E[j]f = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$, hence we have obtain 3 syzygies for f. Moreover, a direct computation using Singular shows that

$$\det E = -486f \tag{3.8}$$

and hence, in view of Saito's Criterion [20, Theorem 4.19], f is free with exponents $1, 13, 17, 29$. In other words, the columns $E[j]$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$ give a basis for the free S-module $AR(f)$. Note that $\deg E[2] = 29$, $\deg E[3] = 13$ and $\deg E[4] = 17$.

Finally we discuss the monodromy operator $h^1 : H^1(F(G_{31}), \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(F(G_{31}), \mathbb{C})$. To compute this operator, we can take a general hyperplane section $V(G_{31}) : g = 0$ of the projective surface $D : f = 0$. Indeed, the first Milnor monodromy for g and f coincide, see [5, Theorem 4.1.24]. Table C.12 in [20] shows that the corresponding curve $V(G_{31})$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$ has degree $d = 60$, 360 double points, 320 triple points and 30 points of multiplicity 6, corresponding to the isotropy group $G(4, 2, 2)$. It follows that h^1 can have only eigenvalues of order $1, 2, 3$ and 6, since any eigenvalue of h^1 has to be a root of at least one of the local Alexander polynomials associated to the singularities of $V(G_{31})$, see [16], [5, Corollary 6.3.29]. The eigenvalues of order 2 and 3 are excluded by the results in [19].

To prove Theorem 1.1, it remains to exclude the eigenvalues of order 6, and to do this, we apply Theorem 2.1, for $d = 60$ and $k = 10$ or $k = 50$. Note that for $k = 10$ we have $E_1^{1,0}(f)_{10} = H^2(K_f^*)_{10} = 0$. Indeed, by the above computations one has $AR(f)_8 = 0$ as all the generating syzygies $E[j]$ have degree ≥ 13, and then Lemma 2.2 implies that $H^2(K_f^*)_{10} = 0$. Therefore it remains to show that $E_2^{1,0}(f)_{50} = 0$, and this is done in the next section.

Remark 3.1. (i) In principle one can try to compute h^1 by applying the approach described in [11] to the curve $V(G_{31}) : g = 0$ defined above. However, this curve is no longer free, and it seems to us better from the computational point of view to work with the free surface D, having non isolated singularities, than to work with

the non free curve $V(G_{31})$ with isolated singularities. This is due to the existence of the nice procedure to compute a basis for $AR(f)$ described above.
(ii) One can avoid using the results in [19] and exclude the eigenvalues of order 2 and 3 by the same approach as we use in the case of eigenvalues of order 6. These computations correspond to $k \in \{20, 30, 40\}$ and hence to simpler computations, which are left to the interested reader.

4. The algorithm

In this section we assume that the coefficients a_{ij} of the differential 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2$ given by (2.3) are homogeneous polynomials in S of degree 48, which corresponds to $\deg \omega = 50$.

We denote the columns of the matrix E above as follows: $E[2] = (m_1, n_1, p_1, q_1)$, $E[3] = (m_2, n_2, p_2, q_2)$, $E[4] = (m_3, n_3, p_3, q_3)$. All the polynomials m_i are divisible by x (since f_y, f_z and f_t are clearly divisible by x and f_x is not) and we set $m_i = xm'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Similarly one has $n_i = yn'_i$, $p_i = zp'_i$ and $q_i = tq'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proposition 4.1. *Each of the four sequences* (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3), (n'_1, n'_2, n'_3), (p'_1, p'_2, p'_3) *and* (q'_1, q'_2, q'_3) *is a regular sequence in* S.

Proof. To show that (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3) is a regular sequence, it is enough to check that the dimension of the corresponding zero set in $\mathbb{C}^4$ is the expected one, i.e.

$$\dim V(m'_1, m'_2, m'_3) = 1.$$

And this can be checked by Singular. □

Note that the relation R_1 in Lemma 2.2 is a syzygy in $AR(f)$ having the first component zero. Hence there are polynomials P, Q, R in S such that

$$R_1 = PE[2] + QE[3] + RE[4]$$

and $Pm_1 + Qm_2 + Rm_3 = 0$. By dividing the last relation by x, we get

$$Pm'_1 + Qm'_2 + Rm'_3 = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

By Proposition 4.1, it follows that (P, Q, R) must be an S-linear combination of Koszul relations for the regular sequence (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3). Consider the following syzygies in $AR(f)$.

$$T_1 = m'_2E[4] - m'_3E[3],\ T_2 = m'_1E[4] - m'_3E[2],\ T_3 = m'_1E[3] - m'_2E[2]. \tag{4.2}$$

More precisely, one has

$$T_1 = (0, y(m'_2n'_3 - m'_3n'_2), z(m'_2p'_3 - m'_3p'_2), t(m'_2q'_3 - m'_3q'_2))$$

and similar formulas for T_2 and T_3. One has $\deg T_1 = 29$, $\deg T_2 = 45$, $\deg T_3 = 41$. It follows that there are homogeneous polynomials A_1, A_2, A_3 in S with $\deg A_1 = 19$, $\deg A_2 = 3$, $\deg A_3 = 7$ such that

$$R_1 = A_1T_1 + A_2T_2 + A_3T_3. \tag{4.3}$$

To express R_2 as a combination of simpler syzygies, we have to consider for the same reason as above the syzygies in $AR(f)$ given by

$$U_1 = n'_2E[4] - n'_3E[3],\ U_2 = n'_1E[4] - n'_3E[2],\ U_3 = n'_1E[3] - n'_2E[2]. \tag{4.4}$$

More precisely, one has

$$U_1 = (-x(m'_2n'_3 - m'_3n'_2), 0, z(n'_2p'_3 - n'_3p'_2), t(n'_2q'_3 - n'_3q'_2))$$

and similar formulas for U_2 and U_3. One has $\deg U_1 = 29$, $\deg U_2 = 45$, $\deg U_3 = 41$. It follows that there are homogeneous polynomials B_1, B_2, B_3 with $\deg A_j = \deg B_j$, such that

$$R_2 = B_1U_1 + B_2U_2 + B_3U_3. \tag{4.5}$$

However, the coefficient of f_y in the relation R_1 coincides with the coefficient of f_x in the relation R_2, hence we have

$$yA_1(m'_2n'_3 - m'_3n'_2) + yA_2(m'_1n'_3 - m'_3n'_1) + yA_3(m'_1n'_2 - m'_2n'_1) =$$
$$-xB_1(m'_2n'_3 - m'_3n'_2) - xB_2(m'_1n'_3 - m'_3n'_1) - xB_3(m'_1n'_2 - m'_2n'_1).$$

In other words,

$$(yA_1+xB_1)(m'_2n'_3-m'_3n'_2)+(yA_2+xB_2)(m'_1n'_3-m'_3n'_1)+(yA_3+xB_3)(m'_1n'_2-m'_2n'_1) = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

i.e. a syzygy for the 2-minors MN_{ij} in the 2×3 matrix MN having the first row (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3) and the second row (n'_1, n'_2, n'_3).

Remark 4.2. The relation (R_1) implies that a_{34} is divisible by $g = xy(x^4 - y^4)$, since both f_z and f_t are divisible by g, but not f_x or f_y as they have no multiple factors. A direct computation by Singular shows that all the minors MN_{ij} are also divisible by $g_0 = x^4 - y^4$. Therefore, when we want to determine a_{34} as an S-linear combination of MN_{ij}, we can simplify by g_0.

By the above remark, we can consider new polynomials $MN'_{ij} = (m'_in'_j - m'_jn'_i)/g_0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ and hence (4.6) can be written as

$$(yA_1 + xB_1)MN'_{23} + (yA_2 + xB_2)MN'_{13} + (yA_3 + xB_3)MN'_{12} = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Lemma 4.3. *The minimal degree syzygy* $r_1MN'_{23} + r_2MN'_{13} + r_3MN'_{12} = 0$ *has multidegree* $(\deg r_1, \deg r_2, \deg r_3) = (24, 8, 12)$.

Proof. Direct computation by Singular. □

It follows that $yA_1 + xB_1 = yA_2 + xB_2 = yA_3 + xB_3 = 0$, in other words, there are homogeneous polynomials A'_1, A'_2, A'_3 such that $A_i = xA'_i$ and $B_i = -yA'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Consider now the third syzygy R_3, having the third coordinate trivial. We define new syzygies in $AR(f)$ by

$$V_1 = p'_2E[4] - p'_3E[3],\ V_2 = p'_1E[4] - p'_3E[2],\ V_3 = p'_1E[3] - p'_2E[2]. \tag{4.8}$$

More precisely, one has

$$V_1 = (-x(m'_2p'_3 - m'_3p'_2), -y(n'_2p'_3 - n'_3p'_2), 0, t(p'_2q'_3 - p'_3q'_2))$$

and similar formulas for V_2 and V_3. One has $\deg V_1 = 29$, $\deg V_2 = 45$, $\deg V_3 = 41$. It follows that there are homogeneous polynomials C_1, C_2, C_3 with $\deg A_j = \deg C_j$, such that

$$R_3 = C_1V_1 + C_2V_2 + C_3V_3. \tag{4.9}$$

However, the coefficient of f_z in R_1 coincides with the opposite of the coefficient of f_x in R_3, hence we have

$$zA_1(m_2'p_3' - m_3'p_2') + zA_2(m_1'p_3' - m_3'p_1') + zA_3(m_1'p_2' - m_2'p_1') =$$
$$xC_1(m_2'p_3' - m_3'p_2') + xC_2(m_1'p_3' - m_3'p_1') + xC_3(m_1'p_2' - m_2'p_1').$$

In other words,

$$(zA_1-xC_1)(m_2'p_3'-m_3'p_2')+(zA_2-xC_2)(m_1'p_3'-m_3'p_1')+(zA_3-xC_3)(m_1'p_2'-m_2'p_1') = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

i.e. a syzygy for the 2-minors MP_{ij} in the matrix MP having the first row (m_1', m_2', m_3') and the second row (p_1', p_2', p_3').

Lemma 4.4. (1) *The minors $(MP_{23}, MP_{13}, MP_{12})$ are all divisible by $x^4 - z^4$.*
(2) *Set $MP_{ij}' = MP_{ij}/(x^4 - z^4)$. Then the minimal degree syzygy involving $(MP_{23}', MP_{13}', MP_{12}')$ has multidegree $(24, 8, 12)$.*

Proof. Direct computation by Singular. □

It follows as above that one has $C_i = zA_i'$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Consider now the last syzygy R_4, having the fourth coordinate trivial. We define the syzygies

$$W_1 = q_2'E[4] - q_3'E[3],\ W_2 = q_1'E[4] - q_3'E[2],\ W_3 = q_1'E[3] - q_2'E[2]. \tag{4.11}$$

More precisely, one has

$$W_1 = (-x(m_2'q_3' - m_3'q_2'), -y(n_2'q_3' - n_3'q_2'), -z(p_2'q_3' - p_3'q_2'), 0)$$

and similar formulas for W_2 and W_3. One has $\deg W_1 = 29$, $\deg W_2 = 45$, $\deg W_3 = 41$. It follows that there are homogeneous polynomials D_1, D_2, D_3 with $\deg A_j = \deg D_j$, such that

$$R_4 = D_1W_1 + D_2W_2 + D_3W_3. \tag{4.12}$$

Moreover, the coefficient of f_t in R_1 coincides with the coefficient of f_x in R_3, hence we have

$$tA_1(m_2'q_3' - m_3'q_2') + tA_2(m_1'q_3' - m_3'q_1') + tA_3(m_1'q_2' - m_2'q_1') =$$
$$-xD_1(m_2'q_3' - m_3'q_2') - xD_2(m_1'q_3' - m_3'q_1') - xD_3(m_1'q_2' - m_2'q_1').$$

In other words,

$$(tA_1+xD_1)(m_2'q_3'-m_3'q_2')+(tA_2+xD_2)(m_1'q_3'-m_3'q_1')+(tA_3+xD_3)(m_1'q_2'-m_2'q_1') = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

i.e. a syzygy for the 2-minors MQ_{ij} in the matrix MQ having the first row (m_1', m_2', m_3') and the second row (q_1', q_2', q_3').

Lemma 4.5. (1) *The minors $(MQ_{23}, MQ_{13}, MQ_{12})$ are all divisible by $x^4 - t^4$.*

(2) *Set* $MQ'_{ij} = MQ_{ij}/(x^4 - t^4)$. *Then the minimal degree syzygy involving* $(MQ'_{23}, MQ'_{13}, MQ'_{12})$ *has multidegree* $(24, 8, 12)$.

Proof. Direct computation by Singular. □

It follows as above that one has $D_i = -tA'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. This proves the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *A differential 2-form* ω *given by* (2.3) *satisfies* $df \wedge \omega = 0$ *if and only if there are homogeneous polynomials* $A'_1 \in S_{18}$, $A'_2 \in S_2$ *and* $A'_3 = S_6$ *such that*

$$R_1 = x(A'_1T_1 + A'_2T_2 + A'_3T_3),\ R_2 = -y(A'_1U_1 + A'_2U_2 + A'_3U_3),$$

$$R_3 = z(A'_1V_1 + A'_2V_2 + A'_3V_3),\ R_4 = -t(A'_1W_1 + A'_2W_2 + A'_3W_3).$$

Proof. One should check that any a_{ij} which occurs in two distinct relations R_k and $R_{k'}$ gets the same values by this construction. As an illustration, let's check this for a_{12}. In the syzygy R_3, the polynomial a_{12} occurs on the last coordinate, hence its value is

$$zA'_1[t(p'_2q'_3 - p'_3q'_2)] + zA'_2[t(p'_1q'_3 - p'_3q'_1)] + zA'_3[-t(p'_2q'_1 - p'_1q'_2)].$$

In the syzygy R_4, the polynomial a_{12} occurs on the third coordinate, hence its value is

$$-tA'_1[-z(p'_2q'_3 - p'_3q'_2)] - tA'_2[-z(p'_1q'_3 - p'_3q'_1)] - tA'_3[z(p'_2q'_1 - p'_1q'_2)],$$

hence exactly the same value as above. □

The above formulas show that

$$a_{12} = zt(A'_1PQ'_{23} + A'_2PQ'_{13} + A'_3PQ'_{12}).$$

This writing is unique since the minimal degree syzygy involving $(PQ'_{23}, PQ'_{13}, PQ'_{12})$ has multidegree $(24, 8, 12)$. Similar formulas hold for the other a_{ij} and this shows that

$$\dim H^2(K^*_f)_{50} = \dim S_{18} + \dim S_2 + \dim S_6 = 1330 + 10 + 84 = 1424.$$

A differential form $\omega \in H^2(K^*_f)_{50}$ will survive to give an element in $E_2^{1,0}(f)_{50}$ if and only if it satisfies the equations (E_i) for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in Lemma 2.3. Any such equation (E_i) is in fact a system of $19600 = \dim S_{47}$ linear equations in the 1424 indeterminates given by the coefficients of the polynomials A'_1, A'_2 and A'_3. Our proof is completed by the following result.

Lemma 4.7. *The system of linear equations corresponding to the equation* (E_4) *in Lemma 2.3 has only the trivial solution. In particular one has* $E_2^{1,0}(f)_{50} = 0$.

Proof. Direct, rather lengthy, computation by Singular. □

References

[1] N. Budur, A. Dimca and M. Saito, First Milnor cohomology of hyperplane arrangements, Contemporary Mathematics 538 (2011), 279-292. 1, 2

[2] D. C. Cohen, A. I. Suciu, On Milnor fibrations of arrangements, J. London Math. Soc. 51 (1995), no. 2, 105–119. 1

[3] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 4-0-1 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, available at http://www.singular.uni-kl.de (2014). 1

[4] A. Dimca, On the Milnor fibrations of weighted homogeneous polynomials, Compositio Math. 76 (1990), 19-47. 1, 2

[5] A. Dimca, *Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. 1, 2, 3

[6] A. Dimca, On the Milnor monodromy of the irreducible complex reflection arrangements, arXiv:1606.04048. 1, 1

[7] A. Dimca, G. Lehrer, Hodge-Deligne equivariant polynomials and monodromy of hyperplane arrangements, in: Configuration Spaces, Geometry, Combinatorics and Topology, Publications of Scuola Normale Superiore, vol. 14 (2012), 231-253. 1

[8] A. Dimca, G. Lehrer, Cohomology of the Milnor fiber of a hyperplane arrangement with symmetry, In: Configuration Spaces - Geometry, Topology and Representation Theory, Cortona 2014, Springer (2016). 1

[9] A. Dimca and S. Papadima, Finite Galois covers, cohomology jump loci, formality properties, and multinets, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci (5), Vol. X (2011), 253-268. 2

[10] A. Dimca, M. Saito, Koszul complexes and spectra of projective hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, arXiv:1212.1081v4. 1, 2, 2

[11] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, A computational approach to Milnor fiber cohomology, arXiv: 1602.03496v2 1, 3.1

[12] D. Eisenbud, *The Geometry of Syzygies: A Second Course in Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 229, Springer 2005. 2

[13] T. Hoge, G. Röhrle, On supersolvable reflection arrangements, Proc. AMS, 142 (2014), no. 11, 3787–3799. 3

[14] G. I. Lehrer, Poincaré polynomials for unitary reflection groups. Invent. Math. 120 (1995), no. 3, 411–425. 1

[15] G. I. Lehrer, D. E. Taylor, *Unitary reflection groups*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series 20, Cambridge University Press, (2009). 1

[16] A. Libgober, Alexander polynomial of plane algebraic curves and cyclic multiple planes, Duke Math. J. 49 (1982), no. 4, 833–851. 3

[17] H. Maschke, Ueber die quaternäre, endliche, lineare Substitutionsgruppe der Borchardtschen Moduln, Math. Ann. 30 (1887), 496–515. 1, 3

[18] A. Măcinic and S. Papadima, On the monodromy action on Milnor fibers of graphic arrangements, Topology Appl. 156 (2009), 761-774. 1

[19] D.A. Măcinic, S. Papadima, C.R. Popescu, Modular equalities for complex reflexion arrangements, arXiv:1406.7137. 1, 3, 3.1

[20] P. Orlik and H. Terao, Arrangements of Hyperplanes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1992. 1, 1, 3, 3, 3

[21] S. Settepanella, A stability like theorem for cohomology of pure braid groups of the series A, B and D. Topology Appl. 139 (2004), no. 1, 37–47. 1

[22] S. Settepanella, Cohomology of pure braid groups of exceptional cases. Topology Appl. 156 (2009), no. 5, 1008–1012. 1

[23] A. Suciu, Hyperplane arrangements and Milnor fibrations, Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse 23 (2014), no. 2, 417-481. 1

[24] H. Terao, Generalized exponents of a free arrangement of hyperplanes and Shephard-Todd-Brieskorn formula, Invent. Math. 63(1981), 159-179. 3

Univ. Nice Sophia Antipolis, CNRS, LJAD, UMR 7351, 06100 Nice, France.
E-mail address: dimca@unice.fr

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Ovidius University, Bd. Mamaia 124, 900527 Constanta, Romania
E-mail address: gabrielsticlaru@yahoo.com